

**Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary**

Application No.

10/045,204

Applicant(s)

ENIKOLOPOV ET AL.

Examiner

Theodore J. Criares

Art Unit

1617

**All Participants:**(1) Theodore J. Criares.(2) Anthony Caputo.**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_(3) Deborah reynolds.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 8 June 2004**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_**Type of Interview:**☐ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***It was decided that this case did not need further SAWS review.***Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)